Radar, Reflectors and Sea Kayaks: A Visibility Study

October 2005









• Co-Authors:

- Natalie Springuel

Marine Extension Associate – Maine Sea Grant Master Registered Maine Guide – Sea Kayaking & Recreation Past President – MASKGI

- Rich MacDonald

Director – Gulf of Maine Expedition Institute Registered Maine Guide – Sea Kayaking

- Paul Travis

Past President – MASKGI Registered Maine Guide – Sea Kayaking & Recreation President – Norumbega Technologies Inc.

• Background of the study . . .

 The Maine Coast – a busy waterfront community



 Fog occurs regularly on the Maine Coast





 Sea Kayaking – a major recreational sport and a significant commercial industry



Project goals:

- 1. Review the effectiveness of radar reflectors on sea kayaks.
- 2. Provide coastal boaters with knowledge to reduce risk of collision.
- 3. Open a dialog on safety between boaters along the coast of Maine.

How does radar work?

Value 1

C

•Electromagnetic wave

•Target

•Return

•Display

1919





Radar monitors

 \odot



Project History:

- 1. Two initial radar/reflectors demonstrations in the spring of 2003:
 - MASKGI meeting at Southwest Harbor Coast Guard station
 - Maine Coast Natural History Seminar
- 2. Conflicting sets of results:
 - CG radar consistently able to detect sea kayaks at some level.
 - The vessel at MCNHS was not.
- 3. Need for standardized testing which led to ...

Visibility testing plan: 3 standardized sets of trials under various conditions

• 18-19 August 2003. College of the Atlantic, Bar Harbor. The college's research vessel, *Indigo*, was the radar platform.

• 06 July 2004. U.S. Coast Guard Group Southwest Harbor. U.S. Coast Guard vessel CG 55120 was the radar platform.

• 06 November 2004. U.S. Coast Guard Station, Boothbay Harbor. U.S. Coast Guard Auxiliary vessel *Equinox* was the radar platform.





Home-made and commercial reflectors tested...





On radar-equipped vessel, each radar sweep logged as 0, 1, or 2, (with 2 representing strongest return).



Visibility Factor

- 0 No signal
- 1 Weak to moderate signal
- 2 Strong signal

Up to 100 sweeps per run, data compiled into an average "Visibility Factor"

DISTANCE	LOCATION		SEA STATE	RADAR SETTINGS						DETECTION	SIGNAL QUALITY	
vessel to kayak	vessel (lat/long)	kayak (lat/long)	Beaufort scale	sea clutter	rain clutter	tuning	gain	range	ring	1=hitor 0=miss		
⅓ nautical mile												
¼ nautical mile												
		-			-							
½ nautical mile												
1 nautical mile												
2 nautical mile												
Data Form used in all three tests												



- Kayaks were fitted with either a commercial or homemade reflector
- All kayaks were measured with no reflector as a control





- Each kayaker paddled a fixed route between two known points
- The radar platform moved to the appropriate range for each data sample



Be Edit View IDa 102, ICa)							8 1616	/a - 1 4						
ID1	DATE	VESSEL		OCATION		IOAT		DLER	REFLE	CTOR	DISTA	NCE	SEA S	TATE
1	8/18/2003	and the second se	BH		CHINO		PAUL	PELIN	NONE	oron	DIGTIN		CALM	INIE
2	8/18/2003		BH		CHINC		PAUL		NONE	-			CALM	
3	8/18/2003		BH		CHINC		PAUL		NONE	-			CALM	
4	8/18/2003		BH		CHINC		RICH		NONE				CALM	
5	8/18/2003		BH		CHINO		RICH		NONE				CALM	
5	8/18/2003		BH		CHINO		RICH		NONE				CALM	
7	7/7/2004		SW	1	CAPE	HORN	GEOR	ε	WATCH	DOG		0.125	CALM	
8	7/7/2004	ATON	SW	í	CAPE	HORN	GEORO	Æ	WATCH	DOG		0.25	CALM	
9	7/7/2004	ATON	SW	1	CAPE	HORN	GEORO	3E	WATCH	DOG		0.5	CALM	
10	7/7/2004	ATON	SW	1	CAPE	HORN	GEORO	Æ	WATCH	DOG		0.5	CALM	
11	7/7/2004	ATON	SW	l.	CAPE	HORN	GEOR	3E	WATCH	DOG		100	CALM	
12	11/6/2004	EQUINOX		Microsoft	Anne	IDate	See. 6	in and	Salart O	Incom				
13		EQUINOX					-							
14		EQUINOX	10	Ele Er	it yer	v Insert	E Forma	t Bec	ords Tot	is <u>Win</u>	dow He	de		
15		EQUINOX	11	2-18	The Local	D. 49	I V D	1.981	0.1.0	01 21	1929	fa 102	LAN T M	V NY I
16		EQUINOX	2.5	And the second s		-				(experience and the second				
17		EQUINOX	_	LOCAT	ION	REFLE	CTOR	DIST	TANCE	VISIBI	LTY FA	CTOR	10	
18		EQUINOX	54	SW	1	DAVIS 1	51		0.125	1.00		1.75	1	
19		EQUINOX	8	SW		DAVIS 1	51		0.25			1.333		
20		EQUINOX		SW		DAVIS 1	51		0.5	8	0	1522		
21		EQUINOX		SW		DAVIS 1	151		0.5		0	8571		
22		EQUINOX	-	SW		DAVIS 1	53		0.5	12	0	3488		
23		EQUINOX		BB		DAVIS 1			0.5			0		
24		EQUINOX	-	SW		DAVIS 1			0.5			1.5		
25		EQUINOX		SW		DECK B			0.5			b		
26 27		EQUINOX	-	SW		DECK B			0.25			1.0		_
28		EQUINOX	-	SW		DECK B			0.25			725		
20		EQUINOX	-								U	.12:		
30		EQUINOX		SW		DECK B			0.5		54		2.5 1	1
31		EQUINOX	-	SW		DECK 8	AG		0.125			.384	2010	
32		EQUINOX		SW		FLAG			0.5			.714		
33	the second se	EQUINOX		SW		FLAG			0.125			.666		
34		EQUINOX		SW		FLAG			0.5		া	.193	2 -	-
35		EQUINOX		SW		FLAG			0.5			0.7	1.12	
36		EQUINOX		SW	1	FLAG			0.125	1				3
				SW	1	FLAG			0.5		1	.368	*	
			100	88		FOIL HA	T		0.5	1		1.90	2 15 -	
				SW		HAMILT			0.125			1000	Ave	
				SW		HAMILT			0.25		1	764	bity Factor (Average)	
			-	SW		HAMILT	A		0.5	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		535	Fac	
			-	SW		HAMILT	Contraction of the local division of the loc		0.5			897	À 1-	
			1	SW		HAMILT			0.5			363	a '	1
			-										5	
			-	SW		HAMILT			0.5			643		
			2	SW		HAMILT	C		0.125			.583	-	
				SW		JET SKI			0.5			.319	0.5	
				SW		JET SKI			0.5		0	.354		
				SW	1	NONE			0.125	S		1		
				BB		NONE			0.5	8	0	.055		
				88		NONE			0.5				0	and the second se

Norumbega Technologies Inc.

 Data was entered into a relational database for analysis



0

KAYAK VISIBILITY - SUMMARY RESULTS







KAYAK RADAR VISIBILITY - EFFECT OF SEA STATE



RADAR VISIBILITY - DROP OFF DISTANCE



Lost in the radar shadow of Mt. Desert Island









 Low profile reflectors on rear deck of kayaks

Conclusions

- Choose and mount your reflector wisely.
- The greater the angularity of a radar reflector, the greater its visibility factor.
- The larger the kayak the greater its visibility factor.
- Kayaks paddling closely together in a pod formation produce a much more significant radar return than a kayak paddling singly with a radar reflector.
- At both 1/8 and 1/4 nautical mile, kayaks consistently showed up on radar.
- The angle of the sea kayak to the radar platform affects visibility.

Conclusions (cont.)

- Changing gain and sea clutter on the radar screen will increase the ability to detect kayaks.
- The visibility factor decreases with distance away from the radar platform.
- The higher the radar antenna is mounted, the less effect sea state has on kayak visibility.
- Motion of the radar platform can reduce its effectiveness at picking up targets
- The strength of the return is the dominant factor
- Radar is only effective when it is being watched.



Recommendations for:

- Research and development
- Radar-equipped vessel operators
- Paddlers

Recommendations for Paddlers

- Some form of radar reflector is better than none
- Radar reflector design needs to be functional for paddling

 Mount reflectors to generate the greatest return, consider both height and orientation

Recommendations for Paddlers

 Travel in tight pods to provide a greater radar return

 Plan crossings for narrow channels and known navigation references. Make securite calls on VHF 16 and local channel to advise crossing underway. Specify exact points of crossing.



Recommendations for Radar-equipped Vessel Operators

- Use your radar and watch screen through multiple sweeps
- Optimize radar settings for greatest return
- Monitor VHF 16 for *securite* calls.
- Slow down in known recreational boating areas





• A pod of kayaks as seen from CG 55120



Recommendations for Research and Development

- Design and market kayak-friendly reflectors that exceed results in this study
- Innovative options include hat and flag-style reflectors but models on the market fall short

The final report – Spring 2005

Available in *.pdf format:

www.seagrant.umaine.edu

www.maineseakayakguides.com



Introduction

In recent years, sea kayaking has been growing in popularity throughout North America and especially in Maine. Sea kayakers are regularly observed along our coastal shores; and sea kayak guides and outfitters are becoming a significant part of the working waterfront, with commercial operations spanning from Kittery to Calais. With such popularity, the potential for kayak collisions with larger vessels increases dramatically.

Radar reflectors are used by sailboats, motorized recreational craft, and working boats of all sizes to increase their potential appearance on the radar of other vessels; the more obvious the "return" on a radar screen, the more likely an attendant boat captain is to avoid collision. On the coast of Maine, sea kayakets are increasingly using radar reflectors to increase their visibility, both in an effort to avoid collision and to facilitate search and rescue operations in the event of trouble. However, concrete information is lacking on just how effective radar reflectors are in helping kavaks appear on radar. Conventional wisdom is that the higher a reflector is mounted aloft (such as on a sailboat's mast), the better radar signal it will return. The intentional low-profile design of sea kayaks that makes them comparatively sea worthy in the hands of a capable paddler also makes sea kayaks difficult to see, both with the naked eye and on a radar screen.

The purpose of this study is to review the effectiveness of a variety of commercial and homemade radar reflectors in increasing the visibility of sea kayaks on radar. It is intended that the results of this study will 1) raise awareness about the efficacy of radar reflectors on sea kayaks; 2) provide all users of our coastal waters with knowledge to reduce the risk of radar-equipped vessels colliding with sea kayaks; and 3) begin a dialog between motor-/sail-vessel operators and sea kayakers along the coast of Maine.

This report summarizes the results of radar reflector tests conducted on the coast of Maine during the summers of 2003 and 2004.



Preparing the first round of radar reflector trials at the College of the Atlantic dock, summer 2003.

Project History

During the spring of 2003, two rounds of preliminary visibility tests were conducted with the Maine Association of Sea Kavak Guides and Instructors (MASKGI): one with the U.S. Coast Guard, the other with a lobsterman. Two conflicting sets of results emerged. Using a range of radar settings, the Coast Guard radar was consistently able to detect sea kavaks at various levels of intensity, depending on the model of radar reflector. The lobsterman's radar, similar in caliber to the Coast Guard's. was NOT able to "see" any of the paddlers, despite use of the same radar reflectors and similar sea conditions. The conflicting results of these prelimi-

nary tests pointed to a need for further systematic testing following a repeatable study design.

Maine Sea Grant, MASKGI, U.S. Coast Guard, Gulf of Maine Expedition Institute, and College of the Atlantic partnered to develop testing methodologies, run field tests, and provide results to sea kayakers and operators of radarequipped vessels. For a complete list of project participants, see back page.

Top picture: Field tester with Davis #153 radar reflector on stern deck.



Study and report authors and designers: *Natalie Springuel, Paul Travis, Rich MacDonald.*

Data compilation services: Norumbega Technologies Inc., Bangor, Maine **Project supporters and volunteers:**

U.S. Coast Guard (*First Coast Guard District Recreational Boating Safety Specialist Al Johnson and LT Kevin King, Office of Search and Rescue);*

U.S. Coast Guard Group Southwest Harbor (*LTJG Tom Gorgol, Chief Ken Hill and the Aids to Navigation team*);

U.S. Coast Guard Station Boothbay Harbor (Chief Don Holcomb, Officer-in-Charge); U.S. Coast Guard Auxiliary (Bob Loney, Gordon Nash, Mark Potter, Jim Powers, Dave Power);

College of the Atlantic (*Captains Andrew Peterson and Hillary Hudson, deck hand Cory Whitney*);

Kayak guides (Chuck Herrick of National Park Kayak Tours, John Roscoe of Salt & Stone Kayaking, Jessica Herbert and Paige Rutherford of Coastal Kayaking Tours); Mount Desert Island Paddlers Club members (George Mitchell, Sue Turner); Southern Maine Sea Kayak Network members (Bob Arledge, David Lenz, Jonathan Pershouse, Deb Swanton, Gerry Vaillancourt)

.Advisors: (U.S. Coast Guard Research & Development Center, Groton, CT; Tom Teller, Aviation Professor, Daniel Webster College).